

New claimOpotiki 20th August 1888Oamaru

Monday August 20th 1888 Court opened at 10 O'clock a.m.

Present the same

Mr R. G. Fountain clerk of Court hearing gone to Napier to attend Supreme Court. The Court adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. Tuesday 21st Inst: the relieving clerk Mr Lyon not having arrived.

Court adjourned until
10 o'clock a.m. 21st Inst:

Tuesday August 21st Court opened at 10 o'clock a.m.

Present the same staff with the exception of Mr R. G. Fountain who was relieved by Mr A. H. H. Lyon.

Oamaru block continued -

Te Hautakuru continued -

Cross examined by Ngatingahere Uatawhito -

20/-pd 20/-pd

Ngai Tu that portion of the block marked B was taken by Te Whatupe by the Ngai Tu there being a quarrell between them the land on the Waioeka side of the boundary on A also belonged to Ngai Tu was left untouched. As they had no cause or quarrell until Whatupe.

The Ngae Tu occupied the land marked B where the descendants of Pakakura the Ngae Tu on the other side were descendants of Te Hau a te Rangi -

New claimOpotiki 20th August 88Oamaru

The conquest of Whatupe took place, the Ngae Tu living on both sides were one party that is one hapu.

That portion of the country on the other side of the boundary was left unconquered by Whatupe because the Chief Te Hau o te Rangi was still alive. He was Chief of the present division of the Ngae Tu.

What I stated was that Tarawa was the first ancestor of this part of the country. And that he found here. Tuharanui, one of the original people here.

I don't know who Tuwharanui's wife was - his first born was named Wairaka, I cannot say Whitini Tumatua and Te Atarangi were his children - nor Te Witi, but Manawataiahi was the wife of Tarawa - and she was the last of Tuwharanui's children.

I know the land at Matapomataka it was always claimed by Tarawa and when he came he found no people in occupation - it is outside this block it is within the confiscated line.

The Tarawa and his people had but one burying place called Whareki. I can't say whether they had any clearings or cultivations on the banks of the Tutaitoko stream - I can't say whether Paora Te Ua and Paora Te Ua had any cultivations on or about the same stream.

I admit the claim of Ngae Tu on the Patati (Patau) stream that is the balance of A not claimed by Ngati Ira -

Te Haurua ki te Tairāwhiti contd -

The ~~fact~~ conquest of Whatupe took place
the Ngae Tu living on both sides were one
party, that is one hapu

That portion of the country on the other
side of the Boundary was left unconquered
by Whatupe because the chief Te Hanourangi
was still alive. he was chief of the present
division of the Ngae Tu.

What I stated was that Tarawa was the
first ancestor of this part of the country, and
that he found here, Tuharanui, one of the
original people here.

I don't know who Tuharanui's wife
was - his first born was named Wariaka,
I cannot say whether Tumata and Te Haraangi
were his children - nor Te Witi, but
Manawataiki was the wife of Tarawa -
and she was the last of Tuharanui's children.

I know the land at Matapoumatāka
it was always claimed by Tarawa and
when he came he found no people in
occupation - it is outside this block it
is within the ~~confined~~ line.

The Tarawa and his people had
but one burying place called Whareki,
I can't say whether they had any clearings
or cultivations on the Banks of the
Tutaitoko stream - I can't say whether
Paora te Ua and Paora te Ua had any
cultivations on or about the same stream.

I admit the claim of Ngae Tu on
the Palati stream, that is the balance of it
not claimed by Ngae Ira -

naru
d
w
.
nd
rang
sent
r
ne
and
he
.
wife
iaka,
angi
-
hidren
alla
d
e in
K it
d
Ki,
je
r
m
nan
.
it

Te Hautakuru cont^d -

For that portion of the block I claim to be the representative of the Ngai Tu -

The Ngai' Patu also who are descendants of the Ngai Tu - and the Ngahew have claims within this block -

Manapia and Rewita were conquered by Te Whalupa so they were the descendants and therefore are not entitled to a claim upon this land -

Tuidai also, Tairua Apanui, Te Whanamotomoto, Rangitama, and myself are the descendant of Ngai Tu who have a right, but I claim to be the principal man.

There are many others also, and these are those also who are counter claimants with me in this block whose names I do not give.

brofsee: closed.

Te Hautakuru questioned by Hikora -

The only living dead bodies are those that were killed at the time of the war at and and my people assisted the Gov. in killing many of the H' Ira whose remains remained exposed and unburied -

I mentioned that the H' Ira lived upon this portion of the block before, and it was not until the Stanhan fight that they were known to be living there -

Beyond that of searching for game the H' Ira would not be likely to have occupied permanently the upper portion of this block -

New claimOpotiki August 20th 1888Oamaru

Te Hautakura continued -

For that portion of the block I claim to be the upsutentatul of the Ngae Tu.

The Ngati Patu also who are descentants of the Ngae Tu - and Ngatingahere have claims within this block.

Ranapia and Rewita were conquered by Te Whatupe and they were descendants and therefore are not entitled to a claim upon this land.

Tiwai, Tairua Apanui, Te Whana Mekomoko, Rangitataua and myself are the descendants of Ngae Tu who have a right, but I claim to be the principal man.

There are many others also and there are those also who are counter-claimants with me in this block whose names I do not give.

Cross examined: Closed

Te Hautakuru questioned by Nikora

The only dead bodies are those that were killed at the time of the was at _____ and I and my people assissted the Govern-ment in killing many of the Ngati Ira whose remains remained expose and unburied.

I never knew that the Ngati Ira lived upon this portion of the block before and it was not until the Hauhau fight that they were known on to be living there.

Beyond that of searching for game and others the Ngati Ira would not be likely to have occupied permanently the upper portion of this block.